

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
1	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	about the accords	I need more time to distinguish between engineering and technology and technician
2	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Description of WK	Application of EA
3	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
4	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	good speaker	saperate on etac only for diploma and eac for degree
5	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	the different between engineers, technologist and technician in term of their application and scope.	the will be more elaboration needed after going through the application and assessment process.
6	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY	Interpretation of SA,	Need insight for

				RECOMMENDED	SK, TA, SP	BEng Tech clearly.
7	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	thoroughly. the passion	
8	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	penerangan yang sngt jelas perbezaan WA, SA dan DA	cadangan: boleh adakan talk untuk specific Accord. contoh: talk on DA for diploma lectures.
9	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	The way the speaker give the talk.	
10	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
11	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	He differentiate levels of engineering, technologist & technicians as per WA, SA and DA.	Special session focusing on examples of questions on complex engineering problems
12	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	Refresh my understanding about 3 different Accords	How to set exam questions according to the specification of Engineering Technology
13	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Clear presentation and overall contents are easy to understand	Examples can be broaden to include other engineering or technology programm.
14	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Requirement of eac and etac on cps and cea	Implementation cps and cea for all or selected course
15	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
16	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
17	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Essential knowledge for moderation	NAM
18	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Informative about the complex problem solving, engineering activities, and knowledge profile	Mapping of engineering problem and activities
19	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	The comparison of requirement in each accredited bodies. The example of problem solving is understandable.	
20	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	MODERATELY RECOMMEND		
21	GOOD	MODERATE	MODERATE	MODERATELY RECOMMEND		

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
22	GOOD	MODERATE	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	illustration	
23	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
24	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	More written example...	
25	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	The differences between the three graduates we should produce.	The acronyms and the definition. Perhaps and infographics for all would be great.
26	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	overview of different requirement for engineering, engineering technology and engineering diploma	detail assesment
27	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	I learn about wk sk and dk which i know little about that.	

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the class="autolink" title="COURSE MATERIALS" href="https://pstpapps.utm.edu.my/utraini ng/mod/resource/view.php?id=3484">course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
28	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Very important information give by speaker. How, 2 hours for this session is not enough	Provide more example on how to differentiate between engineering and engineering technology in terms of implementation
29	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	penerangan yg sgt jelas	maybe focus on ETAC and diploma level
30	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	The explanation is very clear.	overall clear.
31	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	clear explanations given by the speaker	
32	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	understanding	more sample/example

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
33	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	speaker is very enthusiast	assessment focus in SK. maybe separate session can be organize
34	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	more clarification about the knowledge profile	more clear example
35	MODERATE	MODERATE	NO	I WOULD NOT RECOMMEND AT ALL		Not well explained.
36	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Since the speaker is the Associate Director of ETAC, the updated information could be delivered to the participants	no

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
37	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	Comprehensive information.	UTeM's administrators need to publish a CLEAR GUIDELINE to differentiate between Engineering and Engineering Technology programmes.
38	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Resourceful	EAC: Focus on Engineering Programs
39	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	To add real mapping in real example of engineering subject to show differences between Washington, Sydney and Dublin Accords	

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
40	MODERATE	GOOD	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Good knowledge about WA, SA, DA, etc.	Need to focus more and segregate between eng, eng tech and diploma.
41	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	we didnt hav the opportunity to have this learning curve on understanding the conveyed topic. this is a good effort. tq	assessment oriented from exam questions, PSM, IDP/capstone, assignment, projects, according to W, S and D.
42	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND		
43	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Practical	Different between EngTech and Eng

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
44	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	he can elaborate the different between engineering and technology requirement quite precisely with good example	no further comment
45	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Very clear	No
46	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	speaker able to entertain all the questions	-

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the class="autolink" title="COURSE MATERIALS" href="https://pstpapps.UTEM.edu.my/utraini ng/mod/resource/view.php?id=3484">course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
47	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	detail explanation on the graduate attributes according to WA and WK, WP and EA.	Arrange a short course which explain on the design of the final exam questions/test according to the required WPs (min 3 WP), or perhaps conduct a short course on the mapping of teaching plan (to cross-check what have been mapped by the TDA)
48	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
49	MODERATE	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	The depth, understanding and examples	A simple map/Method of presentation would help us understand better.
50	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
51	OUTSTANDING	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		more specific session to design assessment based on WK & CEA.
52	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Obe, course profiling	Dublin accord profiling
53	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
54	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Distinguishing W, S and D	Assessment of final exam with sample for Eng Teche

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
55	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
56	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Most question can be answer by speaker clearly. So i got new knowledge that i can use in future. Thank you very much.	
57	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
58	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
59	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	The exposure towards the terminology, definition and example.	More example according to Engineering field.
60	GOOD	GOOD	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND		

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
61	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Mapping of PO with WK, EA.	Example of question to address WK and EA.
62	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Differentiate between engineering and engineering technologist assessments and activities.	None
63	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Interesting topic	
64	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
65	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	mapping clo, po and so on.	

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
66	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Clear slides	i wish speaker could elaborate the issues better. Too many things to disseminate with limited amount of time. Could have been done better.
67	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Outstanding motivation	-
68	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	experienced speaker	time limited, for sure more example is better
69	GOOD	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED	Good answering participants' Q&A	The way assessment method
70	MODERATE	MODERATE	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	Slides	Detail example by subject matter
71	GOOD	GOOD	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		

Response number	(1) How would you rate the delivery quality of the instructor of this session ? (i.e. in terms of clarity of speech, engagement with participant, resourcefulness, creativeness etc)	(2) What would you rate the speaker's motivation, passion and desire to deliver the topic?	(3) Is the class="autolink" title="COURSE MATERIALS" href="https://pstpapps.utm.edu.my/utraini ng/mod/resource/view.php?id=3484">course materials clear, easy to understand and relevant to the topic of the subject matter?	(4) Would you recommend CAES to invite this speaker for future course?	(5) Please write down what do you LIKE MOST about the content and/or the speaker of this session?	(6) Please list down if there's any particular topic which is unclear and need more elaboration
72	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
73	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
74	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
75	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
76	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	I HIGHLY RECOMMENDED		
77	OUTSTANDING	OUTSTANDING	YES	MODERATELY RECOMMEND	The explanation is clear	So far, none
78	GOOD	GOOD	MODERATE	MODERATELY RECOMMEND		More clearer example of exam questions items discussed